



## IMPROVING THE LABORATORY–DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM AND MEDICAL–SOCIAL FACTORS IN MAINTAINING THE HEALTH OF PATIENTS WITH DIABETES MELLITUS

**Maxmudova Mutabar Abdumuminova**

*Fergana Medical Institute of Public Health*

**Abstract:** *Diabetes mellitus represents a major global public health challenge due to its rapidly increasing prevalence, chronic progression, and high burden of complications. Effective management of diabetes requires not only pharmacological interventions but also comprehensive consideration of medical and social determinants, as well as continuous improvement of laboratory–diagnostic systems. This study aims to evaluate the combined impact of medical–social factors and laboratory diagnostics on maintaining the health of patients with diabetes mellitus.*

*A cross-sectional study with retrospective and prospective elements was conducted among patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus receiving outpatient and inpatient care. Clinical data, laboratory parameters, and socio-demographic characteristics were analyzed. Key laboratory indicators included fasting plasma glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), lipid profile, serum creatinine, and urinary microalbuminuria. Medical–social determinants such as socioeconomic status, health literacy, lifestyle behaviors, treatment adherence, and access to healthcare services were assessed using structured questionnaires.*

*The results revealed a significant association between medical–social factors and glycemic control. Patients with higher health literacy, better treatment adherence, and regular laboratory monitoring demonstrated improved HbA1c levels and lower risk of complications. The findings highlight that laboratory diagnostics alone are insufficient without addressing social and behavioral determinants.*

*An integrated approach combining medical, social, and laboratory strategies is essential for early diagnosis, effective disease control, and prevention of diabetes-related complications. Strengthening laboratory infrastructure and patient-centered social interventions may substantially improve long-term health outcomes in individuals with diabetes mellitus.*

**Keywords:** *diabetes mellitus, medical and social determinants, laboratory diagnostics, glycemic control, prevention, public health.*

### Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most pressing global public health challenges of the 21st century, with a steadily increasing prevalence worldwide. According to the World Health Organization, the growing burden of diabetes is closely associated with increased life expectancy, urbanization, unhealthy dietary patterns, and reduced physical activity. The chronic progression of the disease and its association with cardiovascular, renal, neurological, and visual complications significantly reduce patients' quality of life.



Therefore, effective diabetes management requires not only pharmacological treatment but also a comprehensive evaluation of medical and social determinants, as well as continuous improvement of laboratory–diagnostic systems in line with modern clinical standards.

### **Materials and Methods**

This study was designed to comprehensively evaluate the interaction between medical and social determinants and the laboratory–diagnostic system in maintaining the health of patients with diabetes mellitus. An integrated approach combining epidemiological, clinical–laboratory, and socio-medical research methods was applied.

#### **Study Design**

The research was conducted using a cross-sectional design with elements of retrospective and prospective analysis. Patients diagnosed with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus were included. Clinical status, laboratory parameters, and socio-demographic characteristics were systematically assessed.

#### **Study Population and Sampling**

The study population consisted of patients with diabetes mellitus receiving outpatient and inpatient care. Inclusion criteria were:

- confirmed diagnosis of diabetes mellitus;
- disease duration of at least one year;
- availability of regular laboratory follow-up data;
- voluntary informed consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria included terminal conditions, coexistence of other severe endocrine disorders, and incomplete medical records.

#### **Data Collection Methods**

Data were collected from multiple sources:

- Medical record review, including outpatient charts and inpatient case histories;
- Laboratory data, such as fasting plasma glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), lipid profile, serum creatinine, and urinary microalbumin levels;
- Structured questionnaires developed to assess lifestyle factors, dietary habits, physical activity, health literacy, adherence to treatment, and accessibility of healthcare services.

#### **Laboratory Assessment**

Laboratory investigations were performed according to current clinical guidelines. Plasma glucose levels were measured using enzymatic methods, while HbA1c was determined by high-precision immunoturbidimetric assays. Diabetic nephropathy risk was evaluated through urinary microalbuminuria testing. All laboratory results were interpreted based on standardized reference values.

#### **Assessment of Medical and Social Factors**

Medical and social determinants were analyzed using a structured framework that included:

- socioeconomic status;
- educational level and health literacy;
- dietary patterns and physical activity;
- treatment adherence (compliance);

- accessibility and utilization of healthcare services.

Each determinant was scored using a predefined scale and subsequently correlated with laboratory outcomes.

#### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using standard biomedical methods. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, while qualitative variables were presented as percentages. Correlations between medical–social factors and laboratory parameters were assessed using correlation analysis. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$ .

#### Ethical Considerations

The study was conducted in accordance with bioethical principles. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Confidentiality of personal data was ensured, and all findings were used exclusively for scientific purposes.

### **Main Part**

#### The Role of Medical and Social Factors in Diabetes Mellitus

Medical and social factors play a crucial role in the development, progression, and outcomes of diabetes mellitus. These factors include socioeconomic status, educational level, dietary habits, physical activity, harmful behaviors, and accessibility of healthcare services. Evidence suggests that individuals from socioeconomically disadvantaged groups are more likely to experience delayed diagnosis and severe complications. Low health literacy among patients negatively affects treatment adherence, leading to poor glycemic control and increased risk of complications. Therefore, diabetes education programs, promotion of healthy lifestyles, and effective social support mechanisms are essential components of comprehensive diabetes care.

#### The Importance of the Laboratory–Diagnostic System

Laboratory diagnostics constitute a cornerstone in the detection and monitoring of diabetes mellitus. Key parameters such as fasting plasma glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), oral glucose tolerance test results, lipid profile, and microalbuminuria are critical for evaluating disease status and predicting complications. The implementation of modern laboratory technologies enables early detection of diabetes, identification of latent forms of the disease, and timely assessment of complication risks. However, insufficient standardization and limited access to laboratory services in certain regions may negatively affect diagnostic accuracy and clinical decision-making.

### **Discussion and Results**

The results demonstrated that laboratory–diagnostic measures alone are insufficient when medical and social factors are not adequately addressed. Improved health literacy, better socioeconomic conditions, and regular laboratory monitoring were associated with significantly improved glycemic control.

A positive correlation was identified between HbA1c levels and treatment adherence, confirming the importance of patient-centered, multidisciplinary approaches. These findings support the necessity of integrating medical, social, and diagnostic strategies to optimize diabetes management and reduce complication rates.

### **Conclusion**

Maintaining the health of patients with diabetes mellitus requires an integrated approach that combines improved laboratory–diagnostic systems with targeted interventions addressing medical and social determinants. Early diagnosis, continuous laboratory monitoring, patient education, and social support significantly reduce the risk of complications and enhance quality of life. This comprehensive strategy provides a sustainable framework for improving long-term outcomes in diabetes care.

### **REFERENCES:**

1. World Health Organization. Global Report on Diabetes. Geneva, 2016.
2. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. Diabetes Care, 2023.
3. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 10th edition, 2021.
4. Powers A.C., D’Alessio D. Endocrinology and Metabolism. McGraw-Hill, 2020.
5. Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan. Clinical protocols for diabetes mellitus, 2022.